

MODULE EXTENSIONS OF DUAL BANACH ALGEBRAS

M. ESHAGHI GORDJI, F. HABIBIAN, AND A. REJALI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we define the module extension dual Banach algebras and we use this Banach algebras to finding the relationship between *weak**-continuous homomorphisms of dual Banach algebras and Connes-amenability. So we study the *weak**-continuous derivations on module extension dual Banach algebras.

Introduction

A Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be dual if there is a closed submodule $\mathcal{A}_*$ of $\mathcal{A}^*$ such that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_*^*$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a dual Banach algebra. A dual Banach $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule X is called normal if, for every $x \in X$, the maps $a \mapsto ax$ and $a \mapsto xa$ are *weak**-continuous from $\mathcal{A}$ into X .

For example if G is a locally compact topological group, then $M(G)$ is a dual Banach algebra with predual $C_0(G)$. Also if $\mathcal{A}$ is an Arens regular Banach algebra, then $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ (by the first (or second) Arens product) is a dual Banach algebra with predual $\mathcal{A}^*$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be dual Banach algebras and let $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a *weak**-continuous Banach algebra homomorphism, then $\mathcal{B}$ is a normal $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule by the following module actions

$$a.b = \varphi(a)b, \quad b.a = b\varphi(a) \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}).$$

We denote $\mathcal{B}_\varphi$ the above $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. If X is a Banach $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule then a derivation from $\mathcal{A}$ into X is a linear map D , such that for every $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, $D(ab) = D(a).b + a.D(b)$. If $x \in X$, and we define $\delta_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ by $\delta_x(a) = ax - xa$ ($a \in \mathcal{A}$), then δ_x is a derivation, derivations of this form are called inner derivations. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra and X be a Banach $\mathcal{A}$ -module, then X^* is a Banach $\mathcal{A}$ -module if for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x \in X$ and $x^* \in X^*$ we define

$$\langle ax^*, x \rangle = \langle x^*, xa \rangle, \quad \langle x^*a, x \rangle = \langle x^*, ax \rangle.$$

A Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is amenable if every derivation from $\mathcal{A}$ into every dual $\mathcal{A}$ -module is inner, equivalently if $H^1(\mathcal{A}, X^*) = \{0\}$ for every Banach $\mathcal{A}$ -module X , where $H^1(\mathcal{A}, X^*)$ is the first cohomology group from $\mathcal{A}$ with coefficients in $X^*[3]$ (see [1] and [5], for more details).

A dual Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is Connes-amenable if every *weak**-continuous derivation from $\mathcal{A}$ into each normal dual Banach $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule X is inner; i.e. $H_{w*}^1(\mathcal{A}, X) = \{0\}$, this definition was

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46HXX.

Key words and phrases. Derivation , Connes-amenable.

introduced by V. Runde (see section 4 of [5]). A dual Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly Connes-amenable if every *weak**-continuous derivation from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{A}$ is inner; i.e. $H_{w*}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) = \{o\}$ [2]. Yong Zhang studied the weak amenability of module extension Banach algebras [6]. We define the module extensions of dual Banach algebras and then we study the Connes-amenability and the weak Connes-amenability of Banach algebras of this form.

1. CONNES AMENABILITY

In this section we find a necessary and sufficient condition for a dual Banach algebra to be Connes-amenable. First we define a new class of dual Banach algebras. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a dual Banach algebra with predual $\mathcal{A}_*$, and let X be a normal dual Banach $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule by predual X_* . Then we can show that $\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$ is a Banach space with the following norm

$$\|(a, x)\| = \max\{\|x\|, \|a\|\} \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in X).$$

So $\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$ is a Banach algebra with the following product ,

$$(a_1, x_1)(a_2, x_2) = (a_1 a_2, a_1 \cdot x_2 + x_1 \cdot a_2) .$$

$\mathcal{A}_* \oplus_1 X_*$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|(a', x')\| = \|a'\| + \|x'\|$ ($a' \in \mathcal{A}_*$, $x' \in X_*$). We have $\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X = (\mathcal{A}_* \oplus_1 X_*)^*$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is a dual Banach algebra and X is a normal dual Banach $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule, then it is easy to show that the multiplication in $\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$ is separately *weak**-continuous. Thus by 4.4.1 of [5], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and X be as above, then $\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$ is a dual Banach algebra with predual $\mathcal{A}_* \oplus_1 X_*$.

The Banach algebra $\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$ in lemma is called module extension dual Banach algebra.

Theorem 1.2. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a dual Banach algebra. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) $\mathcal{A}$ is Connes-amenable.
- (ii) For every dual Banach algebra $\mathcal{B}$ and every *weak**-continuous homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$, $H_{w*}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}_\varphi) = \{o\}$.
- (iii) For every dual Banach algebra $\mathcal{B}$ and every injective *weak**-continuous homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$, $H_{w*}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}_\varphi) = \{o\}$.

Proof. The proofs of (i) $\implies$ (ii) and (ii) $\implies$ (iii) are easy. We prove (iii) $\implies$ (i). Let X be a normal dual Banach $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule, and let $D : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow X$ be a *weak**-continuous derivation. Obviously by above lemma, the map

$$\varphi : a \mapsto (a, 0), \quad \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$$

is an injective *weak**-continuous homomorphism. Thus $H_{w*}^1(\mathcal{A}, ((\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X)_\varphi)) = \{0\}$. We define $D_1 : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$ by $D_1(a) = (0, D(a))$. For $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(ab) &= (0, D(ab)) = (0, D(a)b + aD(b)) \\ &= (0, D(a))(b, 0) + (a, 0)(0, D(b)) \\ &= D_1(a)\varphi(b) + \varphi(a)D_1(b). \end{aligned}$$

Thus D_1 is a *weak**-continuous derivation from $\mathcal{A}$ into $(\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X)_\varphi$, and then it is inner derivation. On the other word there exist $b \in \mathcal{A}, x \in X$ such that $D_1 = \delta_{(b,x)}$. For every $a \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (0, D(a)) &= D_1(a) = \delta_{(b,x)}(a) \\ &= \varphi(a)(b, x) - (b, x)\varphi(a) \\ &= (a, 0)(b, x) - (b, x)(a, 0) \\ &= (ab - ba, ax - xa). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $D = \delta_x$. So $\mathcal{A}$ is Connes-amenable. ■

Now we find the necessary and sufficient condition for a dual module extension Banach algebra to be Connes-amenable.

Theorem 1.3. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a dual Banach algebra and let X be a reflexive Banach $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. If for every $x' \in X^*$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$, the mappings

$$(x' \widehat{\otimes} a) : b \longmapsto (x' \widehat{\otimes} ab), \quad (x' \widehat{\otimes} a) : b \longmapsto (bx' \widehat{\otimes} a); \quad \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow X^* \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}, \quad (1)$$

are *weak**-weak continuous, then $\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$ is Connes-amenable if and only if $X = 0$ and $\mathcal{A}$ is Connes-amenable.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$ be Connes-amenable and the mappings defined in (1), are *weak**-weak continuous, we have to show that $X = 0$. It is easy to check that $X^* \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$ is a Banach $\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$ -bimodule with the following module actions:

$$(x' \widehat{\otimes} a).(b, x) = x' \widehat{\otimes} ab, \quad (b, x).(x' \widehat{\otimes} a) = bx' \widehat{\otimes} a, \quad (x' \widehat{\otimes} a \in X^* \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}, (b, x) \in \mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X).$$

Let

$$(b_\alpha, x_\alpha) \xrightarrow{weak^*} (b, x) \quad in \quad \mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X,$$

thus $b_\alpha \xrightarrow{weak^*} b$ in $\mathcal{A}$. Then for each $x' \in X^*$ and each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$b_\alpha x' \widehat{\otimes} a \xrightarrow{weakly} bx' \widehat{\otimes} a \quad in \quad X^* \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}.$$

For each $F \in (X^* \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*$, we have

$$\langle F.(b_\alpha, x_\alpha), x' \widehat{\otimes} a \rangle = \langle F, b_\alpha x' \widehat{\otimes} a \rangle \longrightarrow \langle F, bx' \widehat{\otimes} a \rangle = \langle F.(b, x), x' \widehat{\otimes} a \rangle.$$

This means that

$$F.(b_\alpha, x_\alpha) \xrightarrow{weak^*} F.(b, x) \quad in \quad (X^* \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*.$$

Similarly we have

$$(b_\alpha, x_\alpha).F \xrightarrow{weak^*} (b, x).F \quad in \quad (X^* \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*.$$

Thus $(X^* \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*$ is a normal dual $\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$ -bimodule. We define $D : \mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X \longrightarrow (X^* \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*$ as follows:

$$\langle D(b, x), x' \widehat{\otimes} a \rangle = \langle x', ax \rangle \quad (x' \widehat{\otimes} a \in X^* \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}, (b, x) \in \mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X).$$

For each $(b_1, x_1), (b_2, x_2) \in \mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$, and $x' \widehat{\otimes} a \in X^* \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D((b_1, x_1)(b_2, x_2)), x' \widehat{\otimes} a \rangle &= \langle x', a(b_1 x_2 + x_1 b_2) \rangle = \langle x', ab_1 x_2 \rangle + \langle x', ax_1 b_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle D(b_2, x_2), x' \widehat{\otimes} ab_1 \rangle + \langle D(b_1, x_1), b_2 x' \widehat{\otimes} a \rangle \\ &= \langle D(b_2, x_2), (x' \widehat{\otimes} a)(b_1, x_1) \rangle + \langle D(b_1, x_1), (b_2, x_2)(x' \widehat{\otimes} a) \rangle \\ &= \langle (b_1, x_1).(D(b_2, x_2)) + (D(b_1, x_1)).(b_2, x_2), x' \widehat{\otimes} a \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus D is a derivation. Also if

$$(b_\alpha, x_\alpha) \xrightarrow{weak^*} (b, x) \quad in \quad \mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X,$$

then $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{weak^*} x$ in X . Since X is a normal dual $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule, then $ax_\alpha \xrightarrow{weak^*} ax$ in X . On the other hand X is reflexive, then $ax_\alpha \xrightarrow{weakly} ax$ in X . Thus

$$\langle D(b_\alpha, x_\alpha), x' \widehat{\otimes} a \rangle = \langle x', ax_\alpha \rangle \longrightarrow \langle x', ax \rangle = \langle D(b, x), x' \widehat{\otimes} a \rangle,$$

for every $x' \widehat{\otimes} a \in X^* \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$. Therefore D is $weak^*$ -continuous. Connes-amenability of $\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$ implies that $D = \delta_F$ for some $F \in (X^* \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*$. Then for each $x' \widehat{\otimes} a \in X^* \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$ and $(b, x) \in \mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x', ax \rangle &= \langle D((b, x)), x' \widehat{\otimes} a \rangle \\ &= \langle (b, x).F - F.(b, x), x' \widehat{\otimes} a \rangle \\ &= \langle F, (x' \widehat{\otimes} a).(b, x) - (b, x)(x' \widehat{\otimes} a) \rangle \\ &= \langle F, x' \widehat{\otimes} ab - bx' \widehat{\otimes} a \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\langle x', ax \rangle = 0$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in X$ and $x' \in X^*$. We have to show that $\mathcal{A}X = X$. To this end, we know that $\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$ is Connes-amenable, then it is unital [5]. Let (e, x) be the unite element of $\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$. It is easy to show that $x = 0$ and $ey = y$ for every $y \in X$, and the proof is complete. ■

Corollary 1.4. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a dual Banach algebra and let X be a non-trivial Banach $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. If $\mathcal{A}$ and X are reflexive, then $\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$ is not Connes-amenable.

Corollary 1.5. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a non-trivial reflexive dual Banach algebra. Then the (dual) Banach algebras $\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty \mathcal{A}^*$ are not Connes-amenable.

2. WEAK CONNES-AMENABILITY

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a dual Banach algebra and let X be a normal dual Banach $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule by predual X_* and let $\mathcal{A}_*$ be the predual of $\mathcal{A}$. In lemma 1.1, the module extension Banach algebra $\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$ is a dual Banach algebra. We study the weak Connes-amenable of $\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$.

Lemma 2.1. Let X be a normal, dual Banach $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a *weak**-continuous $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule morphism. Then $\bar{T} : \mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$, defined by $\bar{T}((a, x)) = (0, T(x))$ is a *weak**-continuous derivation. $\bar{T}$ is inner if and only if there exists $b \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $ba = ab$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $T(x) = xb - bx$ for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Let $(a, x), (b, y) \in \mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$, we have

$$\bar{T}((a, x).(b, y)) = \bar{T}((ab, ay + xb)) = (0, T(ay + xb)) = (0, aT(y)) + (0, T(x)b).$$

On the other hand $\bar{T}((a, x)).(b, y) = (0, T(x)).(b, y) = (0, T(x)b)$, similarly

$$(a, x).\bar{T}((b, y)) = (a, x).(0, T(y)) = (0, aT(y)),$$

and hence $\bar{T}$ is a derivation. From *weak**-continuity of T , it is clear that $\bar{T}$ is *weak**-continuous. If $\bar{T}$ is inner then there exists $\xi = (b, y) \in \mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$ such that $\bar{T}((a, x)) = (a, x).\xi - \xi.(a, x)$. In particular $(0, 0) = (a, 0).\xi - \xi.(a, 0)$ and $(0, T(x)) = (0, x).\xi - \xi.(0, x)$. Then $(0, 0) = (ab - ba, ay - ya)$ and $(0, T(x)) = (0, xb - bx)$ and so there exists $b \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $ba = ab$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $T(x) = xb - bx$ for all $x \in X$. Conversely, if there exists $b \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $ba = ab$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $T(x) = xb - bx$ for all $x \in X$, then

$$\bar{T}((a, x)) = (0, T(x)) = (ab - ba, xb - bx) = (a, x).(b, 0) - (b, 0).(a, x).$$

This shows that $\bar{T}$ is inner, and the proof is complete. ■

Lemma 2.2. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a dual Banach algebra and let X be a normal, dual Banach $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. If $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ is a *weak**-continuous derivation, then $\bar{D} : (\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X)$ defined by $\bar{D}((a, x)) = (0, D(a))$, is a *weak**-continuous derivation. Furthermore, $\bar{D}$ is inner if and only if D is inner.

Proof. It is straightforward to check that $\bar{D}$ is a *weak**-continuous derivation. Now let $\bar{D}$ be inner, then there exists $\xi = (b, y) \in \mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$ such that $\bar{D}((a, x)) = (a, x).\xi - \xi.(a, x)$. In Particular

$$(0, D(a)) = \bar{D}((a, 0)) = (a, 0).(b, y) - (b, y).(a, 0) = (ab - ba, ay - ya),$$

then $D(a) = ay - ya$ for some $y \in X$ and hence D is inner. The converse is evident. ■

Theorem 2.3. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a dual Banach algebra and let X be a normal, dual Banach $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. Then $\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$ is weakly Connes-amenable if and only if the following conditions hold:

1. The only *weak**-continuous derivations $D : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ for which there is a *weak**-continuous operator $T : X \longrightarrow X$ such that $T(ax) = D(a)x + aT(x)$ and $T(xa) = xD(a) + T(x)a$ ($a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in X$), are the inner derivations.
2. $H_{w^*}^1(\mathcal{A}, X) = \{0\}$.
3. The only *weak**-continuous $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule morphism $\Gamma : X \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ for which $x\Gamma(y) + \Gamma(x)y = 0$ ($x, y \in X$), is zero.
4. For every *weak**-continuous $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule morphism $T : X \longrightarrow X$, there exists $b \in \mathcal{A}$ for which $ab = ba$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $T(x) = xb - bx$ for $x \in X$.

Proof. Denote by τ_1 and τ_2 the inclusion mappings from, respectively, $\mathcal{A}$ and X into $\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$, and denote by Δ_1 and Δ_2 the natural projections from $\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$ onto $\mathcal{A}$ and X , respectively. Then Δ_1 and Δ_2 are $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule morphisms, so τ_1 and τ_2 are algebra homomorphisms. To prove the sufficiency we assume that conditions 1-4 hold. Let $D : \mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$ be a *weak**-continuous derivation. Then $\Delta_1 \circ D \circ \tau_1 : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $\Delta_2 \circ D \circ \tau_1 : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow X$ are *weak**-continuous derivations. Now we show that $\Gamma = \Delta_1 \circ D \circ \tau_2 : X \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is trivial. By condition 3 it suffices to show that Γ is an $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule morphism satisfying $x\Gamma(y) + \Gamma(x)y = 0$ ($x, y \in X$). We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= D((0, 0)) = D((0, x) \cdot (0, y)) = \\ &= D((0, x)) \cdot (0, y) + (0, x) \cdot D((0, y)) \\ &= (0, \Gamma(x)y) + (0, x\Gamma(y)). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(ax) &= \Delta_1 \circ D((0, ax)) = \Delta_1 \circ D((a, 0) \cdot (0, x)) \\ &= \Delta_1(D((a, 0)) \cdot (0, x) + (a, 0) \cdot D((0, x))) \\ &= \Delta_1((a, 0) \cdot D((0, x))) = \Delta_1(aD\tau_2(x)) \\ &= a\Gamma(x). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\Gamma(xa) = \Gamma(x)a$. Then Γ is an $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule morphism such that $x\Gamma(y) + \Gamma(x)y = 0$. Therefore Γ is trivial. Now let $T = \Delta_2 \circ D \circ \tau_2 : X \longrightarrow X$ and $D_1 = \Delta_1 \circ D \circ \tau_1 : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$. For every $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (0, T(ax)) &= (0, \Delta_2 \circ D((0, ax))) = D((0, ax)) \\ &= D((a, 0) \cdot (0, x)) = D((a, 0)) \cdot (0, x) + (a, 0) \cdot D((0, x)) \\ &= (0, D_1(a)x) + a(0, T(x)) = (0, D_1(a)x + aT(x)) \quad (1). \end{aligned}$$

This means that $T(ax) = D_1(a)x + aT(x)$. Similarly, for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x \in X$, we have

$$(0, T(xa)) = (0, xD_1(a) + T(x)a) \quad (2).$$

Therefore by condition 1, $D_1 = \Delta_1 \circ D \circ \tau_1$ is inner.

Now suppose that $b \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies $D_1(a) = ab - ba$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $T_1 : X \rightarrow X$ be defined by $T_1(x) = xb - bx$ for $x \in X$. Then $T - T_1 : X \rightarrow X$ is a *weak**-continuous $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule morphisms. In fact, from (1), for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (T - T_1)(ax) &= T(ax) - T_1(ax) \\ &= (D_1(a)x + aT(x)) - (axb - bax) \\ &= (ab - ba)x + aT(x) - (axb - bax) \\ &= a(bx - xb) + aT(x) = a(T - T_1)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $T - T_1$ is a right $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule morphism. From condition 4 there is $c \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $ac = ca$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $(T - T_1)(x) = xc - cx$ for $x \in X$. By Lemma 2.1, we know that

$$\overline{T - T_1} : (a, x) \rightarrow (0, (T - T_1)(x)), \mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$$

is an inner derivation. Since $\Delta_2 \circ D \circ \tau_1 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ is a *weak**-continuous derivation, it is inner by condition 2. By Lemma 2.2, the mapping

$$\overline{\Delta_2 \circ D \circ \tau_1} : (a, x) \rightarrow (0, \Delta_2 \circ D \circ \tau_1(a)), \mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$$

is also inner derivation. Since Γ is trivial, we now have

$$\begin{aligned} D((a, x)) &= (D_1(a), \Delta_2 \circ D \circ \tau_1(a) + T(x)) \\ &= \overline{\Delta_2 \circ D \circ \tau_1}((a, x)) + \overline{(T - T_1)}((a, x)) + (D_1(a), T(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(D_1(a), T_1(x)) = (ab - ba, xb - bx) = (a, x) \cdot (u, 0) - (u, 0) \cdot (a, x)$$

for $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x \in X$, it gives an inner derivation from $\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$ into $\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$. Hence as a sum of three inner derivations, D is inner. Thus under conditions 1-4, $\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$ is weakly Connes-amenable. Now we prove the necessity. Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$ is weakly Connes-amenable. Let $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a *weak**-continuous derivation with the property given in condition 1. We define $\bar{D} : \mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$ by

$$\bar{D}((a, x)) = (D(a), T(x)) \quad (a, x) \in (\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X).$$

Then $\bar{D}$ is a *weak**-continuous derivation. But $\bar{D}$ is inner, so there exists $(b, y) \in \mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$ such that

$$\bar{D}((a, x)) = (a, x) \cdot (b, y) - (b, y) \cdot (a, x),$$

and then for some $b \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $(D(a), T(x)) = (ab - ba, xb - bx)$, thus $D(a) = ab - ba$, this means that D is inner, and condition 1 holds. Condition 2 follows from Lemma 2.2. Let now $\Gamma : X \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be an arbitrary $weak^*$ -continuous $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule morphism for which $x\Gamma(y) + \Gamma(x)y = 0$ ($x, y \in X$). Define $\bar{\Gamma} : \mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$ by $\bar{\Gamma}((a, x)) = (\Gamma(x), 0)$ then $\bar{\Gamma}$ is a $weak^*$ -continuous derivation, but $\bar{\Gamma}$ is inner, then there exists $\xi = (b, y) \in \mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$ such that $\bar{\Gamma}((a, x)) = (a, x) \cdot (b, y) - (b, y) \cdot (a, x)$. In particular

$$(\Gamma(x), 0) = \bar{\Gamma}((0, x)) = (0, x) \cdot (b, y) - (b, y) \cdot (0, x) = (0, xb - bx)$$

and then $\Gamma = 0$, and condition 3 holds. Let $T : X \longrightarrow X$ be a $weak^*$ -continuous $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule morphism. $\bar{D} : \mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty X$ defined by $\bar{D}((a, x)) = (0, T(x))$ is a $weak^*$ -continuous derivation, and condition 4 holds by lemma 2.1. $\blacksquare$

Let $X = \mathcal{A}$. So in condition 4 of above theorem, let $T = id : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$, then we have

Corollary 2.4. For every non-trivial dual Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$, we have $H_{w^*}^1(\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty \mathcal{A}) \neq \{0\}$.

Lemma 2.5. Let X and Y be dual Banach spaces, then every $weak^*$ -continuous linear map from X into Y is bounded.

Proof. Let $T : X \longrightarrow Y$ be an unbounded linear map, then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_n \|x_n\| = 0$ and $\lim_n \|T(x_n)\| = \infty$. By uniform boundedness theorem [4], $T(x_n) \xrightarrow{weak^*} 0$. On the other hand $weak^* - \lim_n x_n = 0$, then T is not $weak^*$ -continuous. $\blacksquare$

By corollary 2.4 and lemma 2.5, we result

Corollary 2.6. For every non-trivial dual Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$, we have $H^1(\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty \mathcal{A}) \neq \{0\}$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a dual Banach algebra, and let $X = \mathcal{A}$ by module actions

$$a.x = ax, \quad x.a = 0, \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in X),$$

we denote X by $\mathcal{A}_0$, then we have the following.

Corollary 2.7. $\mathcal{A}$ is unital and weakly Connes-amenable if and only if $\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty \mathcal{A}_0$ is weakly Connes-amenable.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be weakly Connes-amenable then the conditions 1 and 2 in theorem 2.3, hold, so if $\mathcal{A}$ is unital then conditions 3 and 4 hold when $X = \mathcal{A}_0$. For the converse let $\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty \mathcal{A}_0$ be weakly Connes-amenable, then by condition 2, $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly Connes-amenable. The mapping $id : \mathcal{A}_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_0$ is a $weak^*$ -continuous $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule morphism, then by condition 4 of theorem 2.3, there exists $b \in \mathcal{A}$ for which $ab = ba$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x = id(x) = x.b - b.x = bx$ for $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Thus b is the unite element of $\mathcal{A}$. $\blacksquare$

REFERENCES

- [1] H. G. Dales, *Banach algebras and automatic continuity*, Oxford, New York, (2000).
- [2] M. Eshaghi Gordji, Left introverted subspaces of duals of Banach algebras and $weak^*$ -continuous derivations on dual Banach algebras, Submitted.

- [3] B. E. Johnson, Cohomology in Banach algebras, Mem. Amer. Math Soc. 127, (1972).
- [4] W. Rudin, *Functional analysis (second edition)*. McGraw-Hill, (1991).
- [5] V. Runde, *Lectures on amenability*, Springer-Verlage Berlin Hedinberg New York, (2002).
- [6] Yong Zhang, Weak amenability of module extensions of Banach algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 354 (10), (2002), 4131-4151.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SEMNAN, SEMNAN, IRAN

E-mail address: maj_ess@yahoo.com and meshaghi@semnan.ac.ir

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ISFAHAN UNIVERSITY, ISFAHAN, IRAN

E-mail address: fhabibian@ui.ac.ir

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ISFAHAN UNIVERSITY, ISFAHAN, IRAN

E-mail address: rejali@ui.ac.ir